[*] 0 (60) () .(.() [*] 0

-1165-

.()

:

·

· %10

.(1997)

.

·

· .

--

· :

1997).

.(1994

; _

--

<u>.</u>

) .(1997

.

· :

.(1997)

;

.(1997) (Fledman, Gordon, White, & Weber, 2002) : : 0 (Gordon Allport) .(1992 1985)

-1169-

: -1 :() -2 .(1992 1997 -3 .(1974) - 5 - 6 .(1997)

-1170-

. (Thereson, kerr, 1978)

:

· :

: -1

. - 2

- 3

: -4

.

(5) . : -5

: -6

·

- 1

- 2

– 3

· - 4

. - 5

· :

: -1

-2

-3

: : (Glavas 1996)

. (17) (194)

; -

-

(15) (36)
(Cook, B. G. ,Tankersley,

M., Cook, L. & Landrum, T. J., 2000)

(Davids, Arora, & Nelson, 2001)
(3267)

%45

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

-1176-

-1 -2 -3 -4 -5 (Fledman, Gordon, White, & Weber, 2002) (351) () (Praisner, 2003) (408) (2003)

-1177-

. (180)

.

(1990) (450)

.

. (Curran, 1999) (29)

. (59) . (64)

(1988) (244)

-1178-

. (8)

(1992)

(180)

: -

--

(4) . (20)

:

(Kennedy, Shukla, & Fryxell, 1997)

(16) .

.

(2002)

(284)

- 1 . - 2

2

-3

,

-1180-

:

. - 1

-2

- 3

- 4

- 5

- 6

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$

- 1

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ -2 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ - 3 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ -4 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ - 5 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ - 6 (2100) 2003 / 2002 (60) (21) (15) (44)

-1182-

(40)

.(2002)

(1988)

-1183-

. :

· -

- .

(60 - 45) . (11) (20)

. 0

-45) (22) . (60

. (60)

(60)

· :

O X O : -

-1186-

(0.05 $\geq \alpha$) (0.181) $(0.05 \geq \alpha)$ (11.78 (0.40) (3.27) (0.51) (3.19) $(0.05 \geq \alpha)$ 60.18 (3.31) (4.32)

 $.(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ 8.38 (0.52) (4.27) (0.54)(3.29) $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ 50.77 (3.31) () (4.25) $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ 3.12 52.52 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ (22.53) (31.20)

-1188-

:

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ 0.11

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ (0.39)

(20.72)

(31.18)

:

0.03 . $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha) \qquad 20.73$

·

(2.14) (2.44) 2.49 $.(^{0.05\geq\alpha})$ () 5.08 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$. () (2.01) (2.17)

-1190-

· : - 2

: - 3

-1191-

-1

-2

-3

(Brown)

:

() .

:

-1 .

-2 . -3

·

-1195-

.(1992) . -

•

.(2003) .

.(1992) . -

-Cook, B. G. ,Tankersley, M., Cook, L. & Landrum, T. J. (2000). Teacher's attitudes towards their included students with disabilities. Exceptional Children, 67 (1), 115-135.

- -Curran, J. M. (1999). Changing attitudes toward people with mental retardation: Effects of extended contact within a changing social context. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the society for research in child development. Eric. http://orders.erds.com/members/sp.cfm?AN=ED443314
- -Davids, P., Arora T. & Nelson M. (2001). Taking sides: Parent views on inclusion for their children with severe disabilities. Exceptional Children, 67 (4), 467-484.
- -Fledman, D., Gordon, P., White, M. & Weber, C. (2002). The effects of people's, first language and demographic variables on beliefs, attitudes and behavioral intentions toward people with disabilities. Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counseling, 33(3),18-25.
- -Glavas, K. (1996). Teacher's attitudes toward the integration of pupils with intellectual disabilities. Eric, http://orders.edrs.com/members/sp.cfm?AN=ED408751

- -Kennedy, C., Shukla, S., Fryxell, D. (1997). Comparing the effects of educational placement on the social relationshps of intermediate school students with severe disabilities. Exceptional Children, 64, 31-47.
- -Praisner, C. L. (2003). Attitudes of elementary school principals toward the inclusion of students with disabilities, Exceptional Children, 69 (2),135-145.
- -Thereson, R., Kerr, B. (1978). The stigmatizing aspects of severe disability: Strategy for change. Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counseling, 9 (2), 21-25.

(1) -2 . -1:: - 3. -4 .() -5. -1: :): - 2 .(-1::: -2. (Power Point) : -1: : (Projector) : .() - 1: :

-1: :
-2.

-1: :
-2.

-1: :
-1: :
-1: :
-1: :
-2

-1: :
-2

-3

-1:

·

-1:: (Projector) : -1:: -2 -1: : -1: -2. -3. .(-1: : : -1: :

-1: : -2.

-1: :

-2. :

-1: :

: .

-1: :

· :